**REVIEW FORM**

To the editor of the journal *Economic and Social Changes: Facts, Trends, Forecast*

REVIEW

of the manuscript (title of the article)

1. Body of the review:

1. Compliance of the content of the article with the journal’s title.
2. Compliance of the content of the article with the topic, goal, and objectives stated in the article.
3. Scientific relevance of the article.
4. Scientific level of the paper: reasonableness of methodologies used, conceptual framework.
5. Argumentativeness of the article.
6. Use of factual data (in the framework of Russian and foreign research).
7. Originality of the solution to the problem, scientific novelty.
8. Practical importance: possibility of using the article’s data in administrative bodies, in the real sector of the economy, in educational activities, etc.
9. Language of presentation (scientific content, intelligibility, consistency).
10. Sources used, their qualitative and quantitative characteristics. Presence of foreign sources.
11. Compliance of the article with the editor’s requirements to the manuscripts of articles submitted for publication (volume and content of the abstract; execution of the list of references and page-by-page footnotes in accordance with state standards (GOSTs); size of the article, etc.). Requirements to the articles are available at the journal’s website in the section “Guidelines for authors”.
12. Presence of a well-defined goal of the research, substantial problem analysis of the current literature on the subject of the work, justification of scientific novelty of the research undertaken; presence of references to modern domestic and foreign papers on the topic reflecting the current state of science on the subject of the investigation. Presence in the final part of the article of the information on the contribution which the work makes to the development of theoretical and applied science.

2. Summary (scoring table)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| # | Criterion | **Score** |
| 1 | Compliance with the journal’s title | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 2 | Compliance with the topic, goal, and objectives | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 3 | Relevance | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 4 | Scientific level of the paper | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 5 | Argumentativeness | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 6 | Use of factual data | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 7 | Originality, scientific novelty | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 8 | Practical importance | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 9 | Language of presentation | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 10 | Sources used | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 11 | Compliance of the article with the editor’s requirements to the manuscripts of articles submitted for publication | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |
| 12 | Presence of a well-defined goal of the research, substantial problem analysis of the current literature on the subject of the work, justification of scientific novelty of the research undertaken; presence of references to modern domestic and foreign papers on the topic reflecting the current state of science on the subject of the investigation. Presence in the final part of the article of the information on the contribution which the work makes to the development of theoretical and applied science. | 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 |

Recommendations

1. To publish

2. To publish provided that the paper is revised beforehand according to the comments made.

3. Not to publish
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